

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 -----

4 In Re: NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING :

5 pharmacy, Inc., Products : MDL No. 2419

6 Liability Litigation, :

7 : Docket No.:

8 : 1:13-md-2419

9 Box Hill Surgery Center, LLC, :

10 et al. :

11 -----

12 Washington, D.C.

13 Friday, March 10, 2017

14 Videoconference Deposition of:

15 THOMAS M. LARKIN, M.D.

16 called for oral examination by counsel for

17 Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice, at Veritext Legal

18 Solutions, 1250 I Street, N.W., Suite 350,

19 Washington, D.C., before Felicia A. Newland, CSR, of

20 Veritext Legal Solutions, a Notary Public in and for

21 the District of Columbia, beginning at 1:15 p.m.,

22 when were present on behalf of the respective

parties:

EXHIBIT

tables

7

Page 2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 * * * * *

3 On behalf of Plaintiffs:

4 GLENN E. MINTZER, ESQUIRE (via VTC)

5 Law Offices of Peter Angelos, P.C.

6 100 North Charles Street, 22nd Floor

7 Baltimore, MD 21201

8 gmintzer@lawpga.com

9 -- and --

10 MICHAEL COREN, ESQUIRE (via VTC)

11 HARRY M. ROTH, ESQUIRE (via VTC)

12 Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C.

13 2001 Market Street, Suite 2900

14 Philadelphia, PA 19103

15 mcoren@cprlaw.com

16

17 On behalf of Defendants:

18 GREGORY K. KIRBY, ESQUIRE

19 Pessin Katz Law, P.A.

20 901 Dulaney Valley Road, Suite 500

21 Towson, MD 21204

22 gkirby@pklaw.com

Page 54	Page 56
1 next number is. 2 (Larkin Deposition Exhibit Number 1627-3 3 marked for identification.) 4 MR. KIRBY: Glen, for purposes of 5 clarification, can we just use for the exhibit 6 numbers, if you say, "Larkin Exhibit 3," then we'll 7 just say 1627-3? 8 We're not going like 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 whatever you numbered it, we'll just stick with 10 that so that it's not confusing, since it does 11 say Larkin Exhibit 3 on it. 12 Does that make sense? 13 MR. MINTZER: I'm trying best not to run 14 a foul of the MBL numbers, because if there's 15 another deposition after this, I'm going to report 16 back to somebody, probably, this was the last 17 number that we used, so if they have a deposition 18 in a Tennessee case, that they can pick up and it's 19 sequential. 20 MR. KIRBY: And I don't want to belabor 21 the point, but nobody will ever use the 1627 number 22 again. So whether it's -2, or -3, or -40, it	1 somebody else? 2 A No. 3 Q Do you have any understanding as to how 4 the words on those pages got there? 5 A Okay. I have been working with Greg on 6 this, you know, we went over it -- we had several 7 discussions. He had presented with me what they 8 had typed up and had me -- and I reviewed it so 9 that I could see that it agreed with my opinions, 10 and I signed off on it. 11 Now, there were some typos in it that I 12 discovered later, because sometimes when you read 13 through it a couple of times, you miss that, so 14 there were typos that were -- that I saw later. 15 But that's -- this is an amalgam of multiple 16 conversations. And I can say that I -- you know, 17 that -- you know, what is stated here is my 18 opinion. 19 Q Do you consider that final report to be a 20 product of your work in this case? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And that report contains facts that you
Page 55	Page 57
1 shouldn't matter. 2 MR. MINTZER: Do you think that complies 3 with whatever the practice is? 4 I'm fine with that. I'm fine with 5 using my own numbers. 6 MR. KIRBY: Okay. Sounds good. 7 BY MR. MINTZER: 8 Q Okay. So, Doctor, do you have Exhibit 3 9 in front of you? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q Okay. It looks familiar to you? 12 A Yes, it does. 13 Q Okay. Is this what you know to be your 14 final report in this case? 15 A Yes, this was. 16 Q Was or is? 17 A Yeah, it is. It is. 18 Q Okay. I'm just making sure. 19 Is that a report that you typed yourself? 20 A No. 21 Q Did you happen to dictate the information 22 that's in that report and it was typed up by	1 believe are true in this case? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And that report contains opinions that 4 you arrived at while analyzing this case that are 5 your own thoughts and conclusions? 6 A Yeah. Before this report was finished, 7 I -- like I said, I reviewed about 30 hours of 8 material. This is consistent with what I had seen, 9 what I feel. 10 Q When you reviewed Dr. Cohen's deposition 11 or Dr. Manchikanti's deposition, was it while 12 reading their depositions that you discovered that 13 there were typos in your report? 14 A I think -- yeah, I think it was when I 15 seen Dr. Manchikanti's. And then I re-read the 16 report again and then I said, "Oh, stop and see," 17 so I did. 18 Q Does the name Joseph Alessandrini mean 19 anything to you as it relates to this case? 20 A One moment. 21 Q Are you looking through your report, 22 Doctor?

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 I -- that I did not put -- you know, type up this 2 letter.</p> <p>3 This is an amalgam of multiple different 4 people's opinions on the case. If you want to ask 5 me specifically as to my opinion on what 6 Dr. Bhamhani has done, you know, when she came up 7 with her decision process, I think that would be 8 more applicable, if you're going to pick through 9 this and say, "Well, do you remember reading 10 through this, was this exactly your words," I've 11 already told you that I did not type this up, but I 12 agreed with what was written in it.</p> <p>13 Q Can you tell me what kind of inspection 14 Dr. Alessandrini did or Mr. Alessandrini?</p> <p>15 A No, I can't.</p> <p>16 Q Do you know when it was done?</p> <p>17 A May 13th, 2016 is when he was deposed.</p> <p>18 Once again, you're going back to the 19 same -- I've already given you that point, that I 20 did not type that up. All I can tell you is that I 21 may have -- you know, that I most likely looked at 22 it just to make sure it was true before signing off</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 A Not in this case. I think it was in 2 reference to a different case.</p> <p>3 MR. KIRBY: Glenn, he may be referring to 4 the certificate of qualified expert.</p> <p>5 MR. MINTZER: Okay.</p> <p>6 BY MR. MINTZER</p> <p>7 Q Doctor, did you know, aside from the 8 section about qualifications, that the expert 9 reports of Dr. Manchikanti, Dr. Cohen, and 10 Dr. Maine are identical to yours?</p> <p>11 A I'm sorry. Repeat the question.</p> <p>12 Q Did you know that the expert reports of 13 Dr. Manchikanti, Dr. Cohen, and Dr. Maine, except 14 for the beginning about qualifications, for which 15 all of you obviously are different, but the 16 remainder of the report is identical?</p> <p>17 A Yes, I was aware of that. And that's 18 what I'm saying, that this is an amalgam of 19 different opinions and different inputs to come up 20 with this -- with this note.</p> <p>21 You can make it align to writing a paper, 22 not necessarily everything on that paper is written</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 on it.</p> <p>2 And then, once again, how does it pertain 3 specifically to what Dr. Bhamhani did, I'm not 4 sure what you're getting at. You can keep asking 5 me questions along this same line, but the answer 6 is going to be the same.</p> <p>7 Q Have you happened to have seen the expert 8 reports from Dr. Manchikanti, Dr. Cohen, and 9 Dr. Maine?</p> <p>10 A No. The experts, I read their 11 depositions, I did not see the expert -- well, I 12 mean, this -- yeah. What am I saying?</p> <p>13 This -- I did not see their expert 14 reports, no.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Do you know the --</p> <p>16 A Wait. Wait. Check that. Check that.</p> <p>17 Because there was -- I think for 18 different litigation, there was an expert report by 19 Dr. Maine that I did -- that I did see. That was 20 included in the materials that I reviewed.</p> <p>21 Q So you have seen Dr. Maine's report in 22 this case?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p> <p>1 by the same person, but it is a group of opinions 2 that were put together.</p> <p>3 Q What is it that you gained your 4 understanding that your report was identical to 5 Dr. Manchikanti, Cohen, and Maine?</p> <p>6 A I'm sorry. Repeat the question.</p> <p>7 Q When did you first understand or find out 8 that Dr. Manchikanti, Dr. Cohen, and Dr. Maine's 9 reports were identical to yours?</p> <p>10 A I became aware of it after -- after 11 reading Dr. Manchikanti's deposition.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. So you didn't know that when you 13 signed your report, did you?</p> <p>14 A When I signed the report, I was signing 15 something, that I agreed with the -- with what it 16 said in the report. Now, if you're going to go 17 back and ask me every little line, there may have 18 been some things that I overlooked.</p> <p>19 But I read through each line, "The 20 standard of care did not require investigation and 21 product liability suits against NECC," I agree with 22 that. I read what it said about that, and I agree</p>

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 with that.</p> <p>2 And then it goes down, each point, yes, I</p> <p>3 looked at it, I read it, I agree with it. And then</p> <p>4 I looked down to the next one, read it, agree with</p> <p>5 it. This is how I came about it.</p> <p>6 Did I know that Dr. Manchikanti also</p> <p>7 wrote that -- or had the same thing, and Dr. Cohen</p> <p>8 had the same letter, no, I didn't know, but I don't</p> <p>9 see how it applies to my expert -- you know, to --</p> <p>10 I -- how it -- how it changes how I feel about the</p> <p>11 decision-making process, how Dr. Bhamhani made her</p> <p>12 decisions.</p> <p>13 Q Doctor, do you know a physician by the</p> <p>14 name of Autry Parker by any chance?</p> <p>15 A No.</p> <p>16 Q I'm going to represent to you that</p> <p>17 Dr. Parker is an expert in a case against a</p> <p>18 different clinic and a different doctor in the</p> <p>19 state of Tennessee regarding their use of</p> <p>20 preservative-free MPA from NECC.</p> <p>21 My question is: Did you know that that</p> <p>22 doctor's report, to a large extent, is also</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: What is the question then?</p> <p>2 BY MR. MINTZER</p> <p>3 Q The question I asked was: Does it</p> <p>4 concern you that you signed a report that is</p> <p>5 virtually identical to a doctor that's testifying</p> <p>6 in a different case in a different state that was</p> <p>7 drafted eight months before yours?</p> <p>8 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: If I agree with what is</p> <p>10 written here and I -- and you've got to understand,</p> <p>11 I signed this without knowing that.</p> <p>12 And it doesn't matter, because the</p> <p>13 points are the same. Whether or not that</p> <p>14 happened by not even by coincidence, whether or</p> <p>15 not the same supporting points were pertinent to</p> <p>16 another case or whether they're in</p> <p>17 Dr. Bhamhani's case, I think it's irrelevant.</p> <p>18 What I was signing was, each point, did</p> <p>19 I look at it, did I agree about what was said,</p> <p>20 and I did. And you can ask me in detail on each</p> <p>21 thing, and I will -- you know, I'll tell you,</p> <p>22 I've already told you before, this stuff, I read</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 identical to your report and was signed by that</p> <p>2 doctor eight months before you signed your report?</p> <p>3 Did you know that?</p> <p>4 A Was I aware of that? No.</p> <p>5 Q Does it concern you that you signed a</p> <p>6 report that's virtually identical to a doctor's</p> <p>7 report that was submitted eight months earlier</p> <p>8 regarding a different clinic and a different doctor</p> <p>9 and a different state?</p> <p>10 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Once again, I signed this</p> <p>12 paper because I agreed with the opinions in it. It</p> <p>13 is not surprising that you could go through the</p> <p>14 same points with another plaintiff in a different</p> <p>15 state, because the same things apply.</p> <p>16 BY MR. MINTZER</p> <p>17 Q Could I -- does that mean that that --</p> <p>18 that doesn't concern you?</p> <p>19 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form. I think</p> <p>20 he answered it.</p> <p>21 Go ahead.</p> <p>22 MR. MINTZER: I don't think he did.</p>	<p>1 through it, you know, I looked at it, I thought</p> <p>2 it made the point very well.</p> <p>3 I was actually very impressed. I</p> <p>4 remember reading this and going, "Man, this is</p> <p>5 perfect. This really fits kind of how I'm</p> <p>6 thinking about it as well."</p> <p>7 I don't really -- I made a -- I made a</p> <p>8 few minor changes to it, but I didn't really see</p> <p>9 something that I needed to change drastically</p> <p>10 with it. It seemed like, hey, this is great.</p> <p>11 BY MR. MINTZER</p> <p>12 Q Can you tell me one of the changes you</p> <p>13 made?</p> <p>14 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: No, I can't recall.</p> <p>16 Remember, I don't take notes on this, and so I</p> <p>17 don't know. I may have had an old copy that I put</p> <p>18 a couple of things in, but there wasn't a lot of</p> <p>19 changes that I made to it. And it would have been</p> <p>20 minor, most likely having to do with my biography.</p> <p>21 BY MR. MINTZER</p> <p>22 Q You didn't catch the typo, though, did</p>

Page 158	Page 160
1 A Yes.	1 A And I think there were other -- you know,
2 Q Okay. What questions are you aware of	2 other people continued to go see her even after
3 related to any of the death cases or injury cases	3 this occurred.
4 that we're here to talk about today?	4 Do I -- in it's her record.
5 MR. KIRBY: Just for the record, we're	5 Q Okay. That's something that you read in
6 not going to ask him any questions about that,	6 her medical records?
7 Glenn. He's happy to answer that, but --	7 A Yes.
8 MR. MINTZER: That's fine. He can answer	8 Q Okay.
9 it -- it's in his report, that's why I'm asking him	9 A Something along that line.
10 about it.	10 Q Okay. Anything else, other than that?
11 MR. KIRBY: Okay.	11 A Do I have specific examples to give? No.
12 THE WITNESS: I -- I believe one of	12 Q Page 8, Doctor, of your report --
13 the -- one of the patients died later on out of the	13 A Okay.
14 area. I think, if I remember right, that was the	14 Q -- towards the bottom of the page,
15 96 year old. I'm not sure. Then as far as the	15 there's a section, "The Box Hill Defendants
16 other claimed illnesses, I can't say specifically.	16 Experienced Appropriate Due Diligence in Purchasing
17 I mean, I know that this, in general,	17 from NECC." Do you see that?
18 is a common thing. A lot of people were -- you	18 A Okay.
19 know, when this came out, a lot of people were	19 Q It says, "My expert opinion, the Box Hill
20 claiming illnesses, even people that didn't have	20 Defendants and the involved employees and
21 the injections.	21 physicians acted within the standard of acceptable
22	22 practice and exercised appropriate due diligence in
Page 159	
1 BY MR. MINTZER:	1 selecting NECC as its supplier of MPA."
2 Q Well, we're not here talking about any --	2 Do you see that?
3 A Right.	3 A Yes.
4 Q -- of those --	4 Q Can you tell me what appropriate due
5 A Right.	5 diligence you're referring to there?
6 Q -- people, are we?	6 A Well, I can tell you that at that time,
7 A No, we're not.	7 one of the -- you know, one of the strongest, you
8 Q Okay. So on page 7, a little bit further	8 know, endorsements that we can get is that you have
9 down it says, "It's also my understanding that	9 another organization that's been using that, and
10 there were some lawsuits filed on behalf of	10 she had been using that solution -- you know, MPA
11 patients that were later dismissed because the	11 from NECC at Hartford County for years without
12 patients had not authorized the attorneys to sue	12 problems.
13 Dr. Bhamhani."	13 And I know, for the most part, I -- I
14 Do you see that?	14 don't do anything more than that, if I -- if I
15 A Yes.	15 order Depo-Medrol. I'm not going up to the -- I'm
16 Q Do you have any information about that?	16 not going up to the factory, I'm not going onto the
17 A The only thing I have is Angela Farthing,	17 FDA website and seeing if there's any letters to
18 you know, the note from Angela Farthing. And is	18 Pfizer or Hospira, or any of these other -- they're
19 it -- you know, she did not -- you know, she -- she	19 supplying the medication, they have lots of
20 signed something that she was not interested in	20 different people that -- you know, other
21 signing at the time.	21 physicians, including other pain doctors who are
22 Q Okay. And do you have that?	22 getting the medication, and there are not

<p>1 widespread -- there are not recalls of the 2 medication as far as she knows.</p> <p>3 So to a certain extent, you know, when 4 you're a physician, you don't have -- you don't 5 have the luxury to all the time look into every 6 medication you order to see whether or not, you 7 know, the cleaning team at that particular place is 8 doing their job. I mean, I think that's asking too 9 much of a physician.</p> <p>10 Q So you would agree with that she merely 11 just -- when she opened up Box Hill, she merely 12 continued to use the same compounder that Hartford 13 Ambulatory Surgery Center was using?</p> <p>14 A And this is what physicians do, this is 15 what every -- this is how we, as physicians -- a 16 reasonable physician would do it. You know, it's 17 worked before, we've had no problems, you can 18 continue with the same thing that's -- that's 19 worked for you.</p> <p>20 Q And you'd agree with me you don't have a 21 problem until you have a problem. Right?</p> <p>22 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p>	<p>Page 162</p> <p>1 outbreak.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Cutting -- I don't think it 3 would be cutting corners. They're asking you to 4 actually provide more information, that requires 5 more work from the prescribing doctor.</p> <p>6 BY MR. MINTZER:</p> <p>7 Q That was -- was the more information 8 correct information or was it incorrect 9 information?</p> <p>10 A Well, you know, a lot of times, you know, 11 you go by what the -- what the manufacturers, what 12 you're told to provide in order to get these 13 medications. And so this is what they were telling 14 her.</p> <p>15 If it was such a problem, you know, 16 somewhere along the line, you would think that some 17 of the 3,000 people on their list of users for NECC 18 would have raised an objection to this. So after a 19 while, you say, "Okay. This is a regulation that I 20 don't know the details of the regulation, but 21 they're saying it's okay, being -- I'm reassured 22 that this is a -- this is standard practice." And</p>
<p>1 BY MR. MINTZER:</p> <p>2 Q I mean --</p> <p>3 A Look, you know, we -- we have a certain 4 reliance on the governmental regulations that exist 5 out there, that if -- if -- I'm sure if 6 Dr. Bhamhani had any idea that there were -- that 7 they were even slightly cutting corners there at 8 NECC, then she -- she wouldn't have gone there. 9 But they're presenting themselves to her as a 10 legitimate producer of these medications, and -- 11 and that's reasonable.</p> <p>12 Q Doctor, you wouldn't -- you wouldn't 13 disagree with me that asking a doctor to supply 14 schedules for patients in order to get medication 15 and get additional medication for patients that 16 wouldn't be receiving it is not cutting corners?</p> <p>17 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p> <p>18 Are you talking about back then or now?</p> <p>19 MR. MINTZER: I'm talking about when it 20 happened --</p> <p>21 MR. KIRBY: Both?</p> <p>22 MR. MINTZER: -- 2012, before the</p>	<p>Page 163</p> <p>Page 165</p> <p>1 you just go by what is the standard practice.</p> <p>2 Q So that practice that you're aware of 3 that went on with Dr. Bhamhani and the way that 4 she was ordering from NECC, you don't consider that 5 to be cutting corners?</p> <p>6 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form.</p> <p>7 BY MR. MINTZER:</p> <p>8 Q Yes or no?</p> <p>9 A No. I think it -- I think it requires 10 more work.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A And no. If she wanted to cut corners, 13 you know, you wouldn't have to put the extra step 14 in. So she's not working that, she's working more 15 to get that --</p> <p>16 Q What is --</p> <p>17 A -- preservative-free medication.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not 19 Dr. Bhamhani knew that while she was working at 20 Hartford County Ambulatory Surgery Center and using 21 preservative-free MPA, that that entire time that 22 she was using it there, it was coming from NECC?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 302</p> <p>1 done by someone else, and then I could have been 2 giving that tainted steroid.</p> <p>3 I had worked at a couple of other 4 surgery centers, and I had nothing to do with how 5 they were ordering. If they handed me a vial of 6 NECC steroid and said, "This is what we have," I 7 would used it. I'm sorry, I wouldn't have gone 8 through and done due diligence and said, "Oh, 9 I've never seen this before, can you look it up?"</p> <p>10 I could chance it. I know how I am, I 11 usually would use what the medication is that 12 they use at that place. That's why I use 13 Depo-Medrol at one place and I use Triamcinolone 14 at another, because as long as it's a long-acting 15 particular steroid, their strength is about the 16 same, they're the same dosage.</p> <p>17 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>18 Q Understood.</p> <p>19 MR. COREN: Greg, could you hand the 20 Doctor I believe it's in tab 30, or the folder 30, 21 and it will be a Massachusetts statute, Section 22 Chapter 94C, Section 19.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 304</p> <p>1 A Okay.</p> <p>2 Q So in Section 19, it says, "Authorized 3 purposes for which the prescriptions may be 4 issued," and it then repeats that sentence that we 5 looked at. And I won't do it again, that it has to 6 be issued for legitimate purposes by a practitioner 7 in the usual course of his professional practice.</p> <p>8 Now, I'll recall your attention to the 9 second sentence, "The responsibility for the proper 10 prescribing and dispensing a controlled substance 11 shall be upon the prescribing practitioner, but a 12 corresponding responsibility shall rest with the 13 pharmacist who fills with the prescription."</p> <p>14 Okay. Seeing that, Doctor, that second 15 sentence, do you now appreciate that in 16 Massachusetts, the onerous is on the prescribing 17 doctor to do the prescription proper and the 18 pharmacist only has a corresponding similar duty?</p> <p>19 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and 20 foundation.</p> <p>21 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>22 Q Do you understand it's the doctor's</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 303</p> <p>1 (Larkin Deposition Exhibit Number 1627-30 2 marked for identification.)</p> <p>3 MR. COREN: And also for the reader of 4 the deposition, it was part of a package identified 5 in the --</p> <p>6 MR. KIRBY: Sure, we're getting it.</p> <p>7 Hold.</p> <p>8 On a second, I need to ask the 9 receptionist a question.</p> <p>10 (Brief break.)</p> <p>11 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>12 Q Doctor, we have identified this as this 13 Massachusetts statute. And what this is, I'll 14 explain it to you, it's the statute that we looked 15 at for your -- looking at the footnotes when we 16 looked at the Physicians Guide. This is one of 17 those statutes that are issued for -- enacted by 18 the Massachusetts Legislature.</p> <p>19 And this is the lovely law of 20 Massachusetts, and this deals with at least 21 controlled substances, which I wanted you to 22 understand is everyday prescriptions also. Okay?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 305</p> <p>1 responsibility?</p> <p>2 MR. KIRBY: Same objection.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Okay. In order -- okay. 4 So standard of care does not equal the law, okay. 5 So this is a regulation, and why Dr. Bhamhani 6 would be expected to know this particular law, and 7 the fact that all -- you know, all prescriptions 8 that are not Schedule 2 through 5, or Schedule 6 in 9 Massachusetts, I think that's what my understanding 10 is.</p> <p>11 In reading through that, she would have 12 to know that, she'd have to know that somehow New 13 England Compounding Pharmacy, that came to her 14 with this -- presenting her with the idea that 15 everything was above board and then they -- 16 they're in Massachusetts, if anyone should know 17 the law in Massachusetts, it should have been 18 them and they should have presented that to her. 19 She did not knowingly do this.</p> <p>20 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>21 Q The State of Massachusetts -- or excuse 22 me, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts seems to</p>

Page 306	Page 308
1 disagree with you, doesn't it?	1 A Sure.
2 It states that the responsibility for the	2 Q -- it states, "No prescription shall be
3 proper prescribing and dispensing of a controlled	3 issued in order for a practitioner to obtain
4 substance shall be upon the doctor. Right?	4 controlled substances for supplying to the
5 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and	5 practitioner for the purpose of general dispensing
6 foundation. And asked and answered.	6 to patient."
7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I answered	7 First of all, would you agree with what
8 this. I'm sorry. I mean, she's not a	8 Dr. Bhamhani was doing and acquiring this medicine
9 Massachusetts physician.	9 was for general dispensing to her patients?
10 BY MR. COREN:	10 A Yes.
11 Q So she's --	11 Q Okay. And you understand that in
12 A The only people --	12 Massachusetts, the legislature says it's illegal to
13 Q -- the --	13 acquire office supplies of medicine?
14 A The only people --	14 Do you understand that, about that
15 Q I'm --	15 section?
16 A I'm sorry.	16 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and
17 The only people there who would know the	17 foundation.
18 codes in -- you know, the Massachusetts codes would	18 THE WITNESS: I'm getting back to the
19 be the pharmacist, who resides in Massachusetts, or	19 same thing; if this were the case, if this were the
20 a lawyer. She's neither.	20 law in Massachusetts, why didn't anybody stop New
21 There's no way she knowingly did this.	21 England Compounding Center?
22 Q Now, so you're saying that she did not	22 Why did not anybody step in and say,
Page 307	Page 309
1 affix her -- she didn't know she was signing a	1 "Hey, you guys are breaking the law. You're
2 prescription form when she signed her name and gave	2 going to the doctor who doesn't know any better"?
3 her DEA number?	3 They're not lawyers, they're not
4 A No, she did not -- I don't think she knew	4 looking at this, and tell them it's okay when, in
5 that this was considered a controlled substance.	5 reality, they're breaking, you know, this Chapter
6 Q And if you don't know, you could just act	6 94C of the Controlled Substance Act.
7 in ignorant bliss?	7 This is not -- this is well above and
8 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and	8 beyond the standard of care. As a matter of
9 foundation.	9 fact, I reviewed a lot of depositions and nobody
10 THE WITNESS: I mean, there are a lot of	10 brings any of this up.
11 things that we have to know in medicine. Some -- a	11 So obviously you find this in -- you
12 detail like this -- unless someone's coming to you	12 know, after months of people testifying, and
13 and saying -- or even if you have one doctor that	13 everything else, you found this and you say,
14 gets prosecuted on this, it goes like wildfire. We	14 okay, she should have known that.
15 all know about it.	15 Well, I saw other depositions, and
16 I mean, nobody was -- nobody was	16 nobody mentioned this, so should they have all
17 basically enforcing this rule. At least for that	17 known about it, too?
18 kind of thing, at least for what New England	18 MR. COREN: Move to strike.
19 Compounding Pharmacy did it. If somebody did,	19 BY MR. COREN:
20 the word gets out.	20 Q Doctor, it's your opinion that -- so all
21 BY MR. COREN:	21 of your opinions hinge and turn upon your belief
22 Q If we look at Section B, Doctor --	22 that the standard of care is not informed by the

<p>1 law?</p> <p>2 MR. KIRBY: Object to the form.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: So that's a loaded</p> <p>4 question. Look, there's some laws, which are</p> <p>5 basically regulations, I may be violating and not</p> <p>6 knowing it. I don't think there's a lot, I</p> <p>7 think -- you know, I think we try to keep abreast.</p> <p>8 But this would be a small one that you wouldn't</p> <p>9 necessarily know, obviously not.</p> <p>10 Like I said, I looked through a lot of</p> <p>11 depositions and nobody brings this up. You would</p> <p>12 have thought that if it was such common knowledge</p> <p>13 and people should have known that they were</p> <p>14 breaking the law, why didn't any of these other</p> <p>15 older depositions, did they bring it up?</p> <p>16 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>17 Q Seeing that law and seeing the way that</p> <p>18 New England Compounding was having the doctors,</p> <p>19 like at Box Hill and those other 70 pharmacies</p> <p>20 in -- excuse me, some of these other surgery</p> <p>21 centers that had gone with them in Maryland filling</p> <p>22 out this, using old patient names, don't you think</p>	Page 310	Page 312
<p>1 now you say, well, gee, this is basically being</p> <p>2 complicit with NECC in violating Massachusetts law?</p> <p>3 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form, talking</p> <p>4 about the standard of care in 2012.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I mean, once</p> <p>6 again, I'm talking about -- I'm referring to</p> <p>7 standard of care, too. I am sure if Dr. Bhamhani</p> <p>8 thought that she was doing anything untoward, she</p> <p>9 wouldn't have done it. She's a caring physician.</p> <p>10 You can see that in her notes. You can see that</p> <p>11 other doctors send their parents to her.</p> <p>12 I mean, she's detailed oriented, she's</p> <p>13 not -- she doesn't seem -- I don't get the idea</p> <p>14 that she's lazy. This is, you know, about these</p> <p>15 kind of things. I think this is just such a</p> <p>16 small thing, that we're going to miss it.</p> <p>17 And I could be missing it, too, and I'd</p> <p>18 like to think that I -- that I try to keep on top</p> <p>19 of things.</p> <p>20 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>21 Q I just need to put a finer point on</p> <p>22 this --</p>	Page 311	Page 313
<p>1 A Okay.</p> <p>2 Q -- so I understand when we meet again,</p> <p>3 should we meet again in court, is your opinion --</p> <p>4 is it your opinion that the -- that the law that</p> <p>5 governs prescriptions is not the standard of care</p> <p>6 upon doctors?</p> <p>7 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and</p> <p>8 foundation.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: There are a lot of</p> <p>10 regulations out there that we may or may not be</p> <p>11 aware that -- that as a Maryland physician in</p> <p>12 regards to Massachusetts law, because she's getting</p> <p>13 a prescription filled by a Massachusetts pharmacy,</p> <p>14 and that pharmacy is -- it's their responsibility</p> <p>15 because they're in that state to let her know that,</p> <p>16 and they didn't do that.</p> <p>17 And now you're asking me to say, oh,</p> <p>18 does the law matter? Of course, the law matters.</p> <p>19 But you're -- you're drawing out something that</p> <p>20 somebody typically wouldn't do. And no, it</p> <p>21 wouldn't be the standard of care for her to know</p> <p>22 the laws in Massachusetts. Especially, if the</p> <p>1 pharmacy that is -- that is domiciled in</p> <p>2 Massachusetts, doesn't tell them that. "Okay.</p> <p>3 This is the law, you need to do this." They</p> <p>4 weren't telling them that, they were giving them</p> <p>5 the opposite information.</p> <p>6 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>7 Q So taking a whole patient list, putting</p> <p>8 it down on a prescription order form, putting your</p> <p>9 doctor's signature, putting your doctor's DEA</p> <p>10 number, no bells went off that this might be not</p> <p>11 kosher?</p> <p>12 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and</p> <p>13 foundation. Asked and answered several times.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I've -- I</p> <p>15 think I have answered this quite a few times.</p> <p>16 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So just I can simplify things; the</p> <p>18 law is below the standard of care in the hierarchy</p> <p>19 of things that doctors must do. Do I have that</p> <p>20 right?</p> <p>21 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and</p> <p>22 foundation. Asked and answered.</p>		

Page 314	Page 316
<p>1 THE WITNESS: I'm not saying -- I'm not 2 saying that the law is below the standard of care. 3 You've got to know what the law -- the -- it's -- 4 it's beholding on the Massachusetts, you know, 5 legislature to let them know. It's beholding on 6 the Attorney General of Massachusetts to let NECC 7 know they're violating, or the FDA to let NECC know 8 that they're violating.</p> <p>9 And, please, let the physicians, 10 they're unknowingly writing and getting these 11 prescriptions filled out, let them know that they 12 can't do that.</p> <p>13 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>14 Q If I'm driving in Massachusetts and I'm 15 licensed in Pennsylvania, my driver's license, and 16 I drive a hundred miles down the Massachusetts 17 Turnpike, a hundred miles an hour, and the state 18 trooper pulls me over, is it appropriate, using 19 your model to say, "Yeah, I didn't know the 20 Massachusetts' speed limit was 75"?</p> <p>21 MR. KIRBY: Objection to --</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Well --</p>	<p>1 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>2 Q Ignorance of the law is no, can you 3 complete the sentence for me?</p> <p>4 MR. KIRBY: Objection.</p> <p>5 If you can't, you can't.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- is that a quote 7 that -- well, I -- is that a quote or --</p> <p>8 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>9 Q I'll help you. Let me see if I can give 10 you the full quotation, maybe that will help.</p> <p>11 A Okay.</p> <p>12 Q "Ignorance of the law is no excuse," are 13 you familiar with that?</p> <p>14 MR. KIRBY: Objection.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: There are so many laws on 16 the books right now, and I'm sure a person 17 committing adultery in a certain area, you know, a 18 certain state is breaking the law and they aren't 19 even aware of it. And is that an excuse?</p> <p>20 No. The excuse is it's an arcane rule, 21 and they weren't notified that this was against 22 the law. Usually, most laws, we're well aware</p>
Page 315	Page 317
<p>1 MR. KIRBY: Hold on.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer this.</p> <p>3 MR. KIRBY: Objection to form and 4 foundation, scope, and hypothetical.</p> <p>5 Go ahead.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: All over that highway, they 7 have the posted speed limit, okay, if you don't 8 know the posted speed limit -- they're telling you 9 all -- not only that, they're not telling you one 10 time, they're telling you 50 times while you're 11 driving down that interstate, "This is the speed 12 limit. You can't go over that."</p> <p>13 So you can't use that analogy.</p> <p>14 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>15 Q Let me ask you, see if we can fill in 16 this blank, "Ignorance of the law is no"?</p> <p>17 MR. KIRBY: Objection.</p> <p>18 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>19 Q Do you want to complete that sentence?</p> <p>20 MR. KIRBY: Objection.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Say that again.</p> <p>22</p>	<p>1 when we're -- when we're breaking them, 2 essentially.</p> <p>3 This was not the case in this 4 situation, because there was not a wide-spread 5 publication of this code. There was not 6 prosecution of doctors, there was not prosecution 7 of pharmacists with relation to this, so how were 8 we supposed to know?</p> <p>9 If the information doesn't get out, if 10 the FDA sits on the information for years, what 11 are we supposed to do as physicians?</p> <p>12 BY MR. COREN:</p> <p>13 Q Doctor, I want to leave this topic. And 14 I'm sure we'll all be happy.</p> <p>15 A Sure.</p> <p>16 Q I want to -- I see on your curriculum 17 vitae that you're on the Editorial Board of the 18 Journal of Pain?</p> <p>19 A What?</p> <p>20 Q Are you on the Editorial Board --</p> <p>21 A On my curriculum vitae?</p> <p>22 Are you sure you got the right one?</p>

Dr. Thomas M. Larkin

March 10, 2017

Page 338	Page 340
1 BY MR. KIRBY:	1 read that correctly?
2 Q Okay. You were asked if compounded drugs	2 A Yes.
3 had been associated with adverse events prior to	3 Q So based on that deposition testimony,
4 2012. Do you have an opinion whether	4 does that indicate to you whether Dr. Bhamhani
5 FDA-manufactured drugs, and that's drugs	5 considered this order form as prescriptions or as
6 manufactured by FDA-registered entities, also have	6 an order form?
7 been associated with adverse events prior to 2012?	7 A She obviously --
8 A Prior to 2012?	8 MR. MINTZER: Form.
9 Q Or since, but sure.	9 THE WITNESS: -- considered it an order
10 A Yes, they have. If you ask me to go into	10 form.
11 detail --	11 BY MR. KIRBY:
12 Q You were -- bear with me.	12 Q Okay. Do you consider particulate matter
13 A You could just go, like, buy --	13 or material in a vial that wasn't supposed to be in
14 Q Hold on one second.	14 that vial as contamination?
15 Are you licensed to practice medicine in	15 A Yes.
16 Massachusetts?	16 MR. KIRBY: Those are all the questions
17 A No.	17 that I have.
18 Q Is Dr. Bhamhani?	18 Any more, Glenn or Mike?
19 A No.	19 MR. MINTZER: I have none.
20 Q You were asked questions earlier about	20 MR. COREN: I don't have any either.
21 prescriptions and you were taken through different	21 (Whereupon, at 7:40 p.m., the deposition
22 Massachusetts law on prescriptions and I guess	22 of THOMAS LARKIN, M.D. was concluded;
Page 339	Page 341
1 asked whether Dr. Bhamhani was submitting	1 signature reserved.)
2 prescriptions to NECC.	2
3 I want you to take a look at her	3
4 deposition.	4
5 A Okay.	5
6 Q I know Plaintiff's Counsel read some	6
7 things in her deposition on various points, but I	7
8 want you to read -- I'll read it, but you can	8
9 follow along.	9
10 A Okay.	10
11 Q At Page 120, Line 18, it says, "All	11
12 right. They were asking you, under your address it	12
13 says we must have facility name and address to	13
14 process your prescription order, and I get back to	14
15 you, did you understand that this was a	15
16 prescription for the patients who you were	16
17 providing MPA to."	17
18 The answer, "Like I said earlier, I do	18
19 not think of this as a prescription for the	19
20 patient, more of an order form to order the	20
21 medication."	21
22 And that ends in Page 21, Line 2. Did I	22